

to cause an increase in skin blood flow. 1 min exposure to dimethyl-sulfoxide or trichloroethylene is sufficient to influence skin blood flow, and exposure times of 3 min give visible reactions (2). In comparison, only 2 of the diesel oils caused a slight increase in skin blood flow: at 15 min of exposure. Common solvents are thus more potent irritants than the diesel oils studied (1). There are only a few reports (5-7) on the skin irritant properties of diesel fluids, and the present study confirms the classification of these as mild irritants.

Dyed diesel oils are used in other European countries, apparently without skin complaints. The Swedish 'epidemic' is hard to explain. Some mass media exaggerated the severity of symptoms, and the taxation question probably contributed. However, this 'epidemic' is not as widespread as the recent VDU scare (8).

References

1. Grön diesel — miljö- och hälsorisker. *SOU* 1995: 3 (English abstract pp. 12-17).

2. Wahlberg J E. Erythema-inducing effects of solvents following epicutaneous administration to man, studied by laser Doppler flowmetry. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1984; 10: 159-162.
3. Wahlberg J E. Propylene glycol: Search for a proper and nonirritant patch test preparation. *Am J Contact Dermatitis* 1994; 5: 156-159.
4. Salerud E G, Nilsson G E. Integrating probe for tissue laser Doppler flowmeters. *Med Biol Eng Comput* 1986; 24: 415-419.
5. Schwartz L, Tulipan L, Birmingham D J. *Occupational diseases of the skin*, 3rd edition. Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger, 1957: 902.
6. Brown V K, Ferrigan L W. The skin irritation potential of two diesel injector calibration fluids. *Am Occup Hyg* 1967; 10: 203-206.
7. Hodgson G. Petroleum oils and lubricants. *Trans. St Johns Hosp Derm Soc* 1961; 47: 123-134.
8. Wahlberg J E, Sternberg B. Skin problems in the office environment. In: Menné T, Maibach H I (eds). *Exogenous dermatoses: environmental dermatitis*. Boca Raton, Ann Arbor, Boston: CRC Press, 1991: 327-338.

Allergic contact dermatitis from erythromycin

CÉSAR MARTINS, J. D. FREITAS, MARGARIDA GONÇALO AND SAUDADI GONÇALO

Department of Dermatology, University Hospital, P-3049 Coimbra Codex, Portugal

Key words: erythromycin; topical antibiotics; allergic contact dermatitis; medicaments. © Munksgaard, 1995.

Case Report

A 21-year-old female, with no family or personal history of atopy, developed pruriginous, erythematous papules and vesicles on her face, 8 days after treatment of acne excoriée with a topical preparation containing erythromycin base (Akne-MycinTM). She had previously used multiple topicals with erythromycin without adverse effects.

Patch tests with the GPEDC standard series and additional series of antibiotics, preservatives and cosmetics, showed positive reactions to perfume mix 8% pet. (++) and erythromycin base 2% pet. (++) among the other constituents of Akne-MycinTM, kindly supplied by the manufacturer, there were positive reactions to the perfume (Gardenia perfume oil) 5% and 10% eth. (++) Patch tests with erythromycin ethylsuccinate in ethanol were positive at 2%, 5% and 10%, with the strongest reaction at the lowest concentration (++); no reaction was observed when this salt of erythromycin was tested in pet.

Discussion

Erythromycin is considered a weak sensitizer (1, 2), explained by its structural formula and high MW (3). Only sporadic immediate and delayed-type hypersensitivity have been reported to erythromycin sulphate and stearate (4, 5). Erythromycin base is considered safe topical, even when applied to stasis ulcers (6). Redondo et

al. (7) reported a patient with contact dermatitis from erythromycin base, who, after intolerance to topical erythromycin base, also developed systemic contact dermatitis from oral erythromycin ethylsuccinate, both prescribed for erythrasma. The immunosuppressive properties of erythromycin (8) might be responsible for the weaker reaction when its salt (ethylsuccinate) was tested at higher concentration.

References

1. Fisher A A. The safety of topical erythromycin. *Contact Dermatitis* 1976; 2: 43-44.
2. Cronin E. *Contact dermatitis*. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone, 1980.
3. Moura D. Outros quimioterápicos antibacterianos. In: Garret J, Osswald W (eds): *Terapêutica medicamentosa e suas bases farmacológicas*, 2nd edition. Porto: Porto Editora; Lda, 1987: 1076-1079.
4. Ketel W. Immediate- and delayed-type allergy to erythromycin. *Contact Dermatitis* 1976; 2: 363-364.
5. Lombardi P, Campolmo P, Spallanzani P et al. Delayed hypersensitivity to erythromycin. *Contact Dermatitis* 1982; 8: 416-431.
6. Fisher A A. Is topical erythromycin base non-allergenic? *Contact Dermatitis* 1983; 9: 243.
7. Redondo V, Casas L, Taboada M et al. Systemic contact dermatitis from erythromycin. *Contact Dermatitis* 1994; 30: 43-44.
8. Guibert J. Macrolides. *Encyclopédie médico-chirurgicale*. Paris, France: Thérapeutique, 25010D¹⁰, 5, 1985: 8.