A role for atorvastatin and insulin combination in protecting from liver injury in a model of type 2 diabetes with hyperlipidemia
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Abstract Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a major complication linked with the metabolic syndrome associated with dyslipidemia, inflammation, and oxidative stress. Impact of type 2 diabetes with hyperlipidemia in NAFLD has to be established, as well as the utility of commonly prescribed anti-diabetic and lipid-lowering agents in improving liver injury markers. Genetic type 2 diabetic Goto–Kakizaki rats were fed with a high-fat diet to test hepatic effects of type 2 diabetes with hyperlipidemia and the effect of atorvastatin and insulin, individually and in combination, in systemic and hepatic inflammatory and oxidative stress markers. High-fat diet aggravated fasting glycemia, systemic and liver lipids, and inflammatory and oxidative stress markers. Individual treatments improved glycemic and lipid profiles, but failed to improve inflammatory markers, whereas insulin was able to reduce liver oxidative stress parameters. Combination of insulin and atorvastatin further improved glycemic and lipid profiles and decreased circulating C-reactive protein levels and liver inflammatory and oxidative stress markers. Insulin and atorvastatin combination leads to better glycemic and lipid profiles and to better protection against liver inflammation and oxidative stress, giving a superior level of liver protection in type 2 diabetic with hyperlipidemia.

Keywords Liver · Inflammation · Atorvastatin · Insulin treatment

Abbreviations
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NASH  Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis
CRP  C-reactive protein
TNF-α  Tumour necrosis factor α
IL-6  Interleukin-6

Introduction

In the USA, 20% of the adults have diagnosed non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and 2–3% develops non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH; Cave et al. 2007). NAFLD, which is currently understood as the liver
expression of the metabolic syndrome, is considered when triglycerides drops occupy more than 5% of the hepatocyte (Maeda et al. 2005; Neushwander-Tetri 2005). Subjects with NAFLD currently have more visceral fat, insulin resistance, higher levels of C-reactive protein (CRP), free fatty acids (FFA), triglycerides and oxidative stress markers, and lower levels of HDL-cholesterol (Brownlee 2005; Bugianesi et al. 2005a, b; Hanley et al. 2005).

Insulin resistance was reported to be significantly correlated with NAFLD; previous reports showed that normal but not genetic type 2 diabetic Goto–Kakizaki rats subjected to high-fat diet have increased insulin secretion compensating for high-fat-diet-induced insulin resistance (Ota et al. 2007; Shang et al. 2002). Insulin promotes free fatty acids storage in adipocytes and inhibits lipolysis (Bradbury 2006). Hyperlipidemia leads to accumulation of free fatty acids and their metabolites in hepatocytes, which is associated with activation of inflammatory pathways, namely tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and CRP, directly causing insulin resistance (Kaneto et al. 2005; Paumelle et al. 2006; Sethi and Hotamisligil 1999). CRP, in addition to its atherosclerotic effects, severely induces insulin resistance (Yki-Järvinen 2005; Shoelson et al. 2007) and is negatively related with HDL-cholesterol levels (Chrysohoou et al. 2006; Pfützner and Forst 2006) and directly with the degree of liver fibrosis (Yoneda et al. 2007).

In obese and type 2 diabetic patients with NASH, adiponectin levels are inversely associated with hepatic steatosis, inflammation, and fibrosis (Meier and Gressner 2004; Yamauchi et al. 2003; Zhou et al. 2005). Furthermore, in normal, but not NASH patients, lipid infusion stimulates adiponectin production (Makowski and Hotamisligil 2005).

Insulin has been widely used among type 2 diabetic patients in order to balance β cell failure. Recent standards in medical care suggest insulin therapy in early stages of type 2 diabetes and combination with other therapies, namely statins (Maseri 1995). However, the usefulness of insulin therapy in complications associated with the metabolic syndrome remains to be elucidated.

Atorvastatin is among the most clinically used statins (HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, a key enzyme of the hepatic cholesterol biosynthesis pathway; Ludwig et al. 2005). Cholesterol produced in the liver incorporates mostly in low-density lipoproteins (LDL), named LDL-cholesterol, which directly correlates with atherosclerosis (Bisgaier et al. 1997; Davidson 2005). It has been suggested that statins have acute anti-inflammatory properties, promoting fatty acid oxidation; however, the effects of chronic statin therapy is not entirely established (Planavila et al. 2005). Recent papers have suggested that statin therapy can also have beneficial effects in liver; however, their full extent is not known due to the absence of clinical studies in this field (Ekstedt et al. 2007; Georgescu and Georgescu 2007).

In this study, we evaluated the hepatic effects of high-fat diet in Goto–Kakizaki rats, a spontaneous animal model of type 2 diabetes, and the effects of atorvastatin and insulin, individually and in combination, in this animal model in a young age. Our aim was to assess whether the simultaneous use of atorvastatin and insulin has positive impacts, beyond their individual effects, in liver inflammation and oxidative stress.

Materials and methods

Reagents

All compounds were of the purest quality available and were purchased from Sigma Chemical (St. Louis, MO, USA) or Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

Animals

Goto–Kakizaki rats, obtained from our local breeding colony (Animal Research Center Laboratory, University Hospital, Coimbra, Portugal), were kept under controlled light (12 h day/night), temperature (22–24°C), and humidity (50–60%) conditions and with free access to water and to standard (Charles River) or to high-fat diet [special diet 0125 (modified A04 from Charles River) SAFE, France] which is composed of 70% A04 standard chow, 7.5% cocoa butter, and 1.25% cholesterol.

Rats were divided into five experimental groups (n=12 per group): one group (SD) maintained with standard diet until 6 months of age and four groups maintained with high-fat diet between 2 and 6 months of age. The high-fat groups were divided according to the treatment [no treatment (AD); insulin (ADI); atorvastatin (ADA); atorvastatin and insulin (ADAl)]. The experimental protocol was approved by the local Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Treatment administration

Insulin and atorvastatin were administered during the last month (between 5 and 6 months of age). Insulin (Mixtard 30 Novolet, from Novo Nordisk A/S, Denmark) was administered twice a day subcutaneously according to glucose levels: glycemia between 150 and 199 mg/ml, 2 U of insulin; glycemia between 200 and 299 mg/dl, 4 U; glycemia higher than 300 mg/dl—6 U. Atorvastatin (Pfizer, USA) was administered orally (10 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹), diluted in water (equivalent to the maximum human dose, 40 mg/day).
Sample collection and storage

At the end of the treatment (6 months), rats were placed in metabolic boxes for 24 h and urine was collected. Urine was centrifuged 2000×g, 10 min, 4°C and stored at −80°C. In another day, blood samples were collected after an overnight fasting by cardiac puncture from anesthetized animals [ketamine chloride (75 mg/kg, im, Parke-Davis, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and chlorpromazine chloride (2.65 mg/kg, im, Lab. Vitória, Portugal)]. For plasma and serum parameters determination, blood was centrifuged (2,500 rpm), 10 min, 4°C, aliquoted, and stored at −20°C. Animals were killed by cervical dislocation and livers were immediately collected and briefly washed in NaCl (0.9%). Liver sections from ten animals per group were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin for histological analysis. Remaining tissue was frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C.

Blood glucose and plasma insulin levels

Blood glucose levels, fasting and 2 h after glucose load (1.8 g/kg), were determined through the glucose oxidase method using a glucometer (Elite-Bayer, Portugal). Plasma insulin was determined by an in-house competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) as previously described (Nunes et al. 2007; Seiça et al. 2004). Immunoglobulin G-certified microtiter plates (96 wells, Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) were incubated overnight at 4°C, with guinea pig–rat insulin serum (Biotrend Chemikalien, GmbH) using rat insulin as standard (Linco Research Inc, USA). 3,3′, 5,5′-tetratetramethylbenzidine (TMB, liquid substrate system; Sigma Chemicals) was used as substrate for the insulin–peroxidase conjugate, and the reaction product was assayed spectrophotometrically (450 nm; Gen5, Biotek Instruments, USA) after a 30-min incubation with TMB at room temperature (the reaction was stopped with sulfuric acid).

Insulin resistance was measured through the homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) index and the quantitative insulin sensitivity index (QUICKI). HOMA was calculated as [(I\textsubscript{f} × (G\textsubscript{f}))/22.5], where (I\textsubscript{f}) is the fasting insulin level (μU/ml) and (G\textsubscript{f}) is the fasting glucose level (mmol/L; Chen et al. 2005). QUICKI was calculated as 1/[log(G\textsubscript{0}) + log(I\textsubscript{0})], where G\textsubscript{0} is fasting glucose (mg/dL) and I\textsubscript{0} is fasting insulin (μU/ml; Chen et al. 2005).

Circulating and liver lipids

Serum triglycerides and cholesterol (total and HDL) and liver triglycerides and cholesterol levels were determined using commercial kits (Olympus-Diagnóstica Portugal, Produtos de Diagnóstico SA, Portugal). Atherogenicity index (A.I.) was calculated as total cholesterol/HDL-cholesterol. Plasma free fatty acid levels were determined using the half-micro test, Roche Diagnostic, Germany.

For liver lipid content determination, liver sections (1.25 g) were homogenized in 7.5 ml chloroform/methanol (2:1, v/v) solution and vortexed during 15 min. Chloroform (2.5 ml) and ultra pure water (2.5 ml) were added and homogenates were centrifuged at 3,000 rpm, 8 min, 4°C. The lower (chloroform) phase was collected in a clean tube. In the first tube, 3.76 ml of chloroform was added. Samples were vortexed and centrifuged at 3,000 rpm, 8 min, 4°C. Chloroform phase was collected and added to chloroform phase from first centrifugation. Samples were evaporated at 55°C under nitrogen atmosphere and suspended in 2 ml of 2-propanol for lipid measure.

Inflammatory markers

Serum C-reactive protein levels were measured using the C-reactive protein ELISA kit, and circulating and hepatic TNF-α and IL-6 levels were determined by the rat TNF ELISA set and rat IL-6 ELISA set, respectively (BD Biosciences Pharmingen, CA, USA). Serum levels of adiponectin were quantified by the ELISA Phoenix adiponectin kit assay (Phoenix, USA). Liver sections (200 mg) were homogenized in 2 ml of cold 25 mM Tris–HCl buffer containing 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, 10 mM PMSF and protease inhibitors cocktail, pH=7.4. Homogenates were centrifuged at 14,000 rpm, 20 min, 4°C. Supernatant was transferred to a clean tube and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm, 15 min, 4°C. Supernatant was collected, aliquoted, and stored at −80°C.

Oxidative stress markers

Plasma and liver carbonyl protein concentration was determined using the protein carbonyl assay (Cayman Chemical Company, USA). Liver was homogenized in cold buffer (50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH=7.4) and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm, 15 min, 4°C. Supernatants were stored at −80°C.

Urine and liver free 8-isoprostane was determined using the 8-isoprostane EIA assay (Cayman Chemical Company). Liver was homogenized in 0.1 M cold phosphate-buffered solution containing 1 mM EDTA and 10 μM indomethacin and was stored at −80°C according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Histological analysis

The 4-μm-thick paraffinized liver sections were deparaffinized with xylene and rehydrated through decreasing concentrations of ethanol for staining with hematoxylin–eosin.
Statistics

Data are presented as mean±SEM. Statistical differences between AD and SD groups were determined by Student’s *t* test. One-way analysis of variance and Tukey multiple comparison test were used to assess differences between treatments. A value of *P* <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Body weight and food consumption

High-fat diet significantly increased body weigh (*P*< 0.001). None of the treatments significantly altered this parameter in comparison to AD group (Table 1). Food consumption was similar in all experimental groups (Table 1).

High-fat diet increases fasting glycemia and aggravates lipid profile

Fasting glycemia was significantly higher in atherogenic diet group than in standard diet group (*P*<0.001; Table 1). Glycemia 2 h after intraperitoneal glucose administration and insulin resistance indexes were not changed by atherogenic diet (Table 1).

High-fat diet group increased total cholesterol (*P*<0.05) and reduced HDL-cholesterol circulating levels (*P*<0.001) when compared with SD group (Table 2). Furthermore, higher A.I. (total/HDL-cholesterol; *P*<0.001), triglyceride (*P*<0.01), and FFA (*P*<0.001) levels were observed in AD group (Table 2).

Insulin and atorvastatin combination further improve glycemic and lipid profiles

Fasting glycemia was significantly decreased by all treatments (*P*<0.001). However, atorvastatin resulted in a less pronounced effect than observed in ADI and ADAI (*P*<0.001; Table 1). In relation to AD group insulin and atorvastatin groups had shown no improvements in glycemia 2 h after glucose administration; only the combination therapy resulted in significant improvements when compared to AD (*P*<0.001), ADI (*P*<0.05), and ADA (*P*<0.01) groups (Table 1). When compared with AD group, atorvastatin treatment significantly improved HOMA (*P*<0.05) and QUICKI (*P*<0.01), but a more pronounced effect was observed with insulin and combination treatment in both HOMA (*P*<0.01) and QUICKI (*P*<0.001). In QUICKI, combination treatment also showed a significant difference when compared to atorvastatin group (*P*<0.05), thus further improving insulin sensitivity (Table 1).

Treatments did not alter total cholesterol levels in relation to AD group (Table 2); however, all of them significantly improved HDL-cholesterol levels (*P*<0.01; Table 2). Although HDL-cholesterol was improved in all groups, only the group receiving both insulin and atorvastatin showed a significant decrease in A.I. in comparison to AD (*P*<0.05) and ADI groups (*P*<0.05; Table 2). When comparing with high-fat diet group, the triglycerides concentration did not change with the treatments, but all of them significantly decreased fasting free fatty acid levels (*P*<0.001; Table 2).

Liver weight

Liver weight, expressed as percentage of body weight, was higher in high-fat diet group than in standard diet group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>ADI</th>
<th>ADA</th>
<th>ADAI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (g)</td>
<td>345±8</td>
<td>387±17&lt;sup&gt;****&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>392±5</td>
<td>401±7</td>
<td>391±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet (grams per rat per day)</td>
<td>23±0</td>
<td>19±2</td>
<td>19±1</td>
<td>20±1</td>
<td>18±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%LiverW/bodyW</td>
<td>4.1±0.1</td>
<td>4.7±0.2&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.6±0.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;sup&gt;***&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.5±0.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;sup&gt;***&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.4±0.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;sup&gt;***&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glycemia (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.2±0.1</td>
<td>7.8±0.2&lt;sup&gt;***&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.4±0.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;sup&gt;***&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.6±0.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;sup&gt;***&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.0±0.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;sup&gt;***&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycemia at 2 h (mmol/L)</td>
<td>16.6±0.4</td>
<td>16.8±0.8</td>
<td>14.9±0.8</td>
<td>16.0±0.6</td>
<td>12.3±0.7&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;sup&gt;***&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA</td>
<td>11.2±1.3</td>
<td>14.7±2.5</td>
<td>5.3±1.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;sup&gt;***&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.3±0.8&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;sup&gt;***&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.8±1.2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;sup&gt;***&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUICKI</td>
<td>0.29±0.06</td>
<td>0.27±0.04</td>
<td>0.31±0.08&lt;sup&gt;****&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.29±0.05&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;sup&gt;***&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.32±0.09&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;sup&gt;***&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as means±SEM

SD standard diet, AD atherogenic diet, ADI AD + insulin, ADA AD + atorvastatin, ADAI AD + atorvastatin plus insulin (n=12)

* *P*<0.05; ** *P*<0.01; *** *P*<0.001

<sup>a</sup> Represents significant differences from SD group
<sup>b</sup> Represents a significant difference from the AD group
<sup>c</sup> Represents a significant difference from insulin group
<sup>d</sup> Represents significant differences from atorvastatin group

<sup>e</sup> Springer
All treatments prevented liver hypertrophy observed in AD group ($P<0.001$; Table 1).

Treatments fail to improve high-fat diet-induced lipid accumulation in liver

Liver cholesterol ($P<0.001$) and triglycerides ($P<0.001$) levels were significantly increased by high-fat diet. Treatments were not able to improve these parameters (Fig. 1a, b).

High-fat diet aggravates systemic and hepatic inflammatory status

High-fat diet did not result in significant adiponectin alterations (Table 2), but significantly increased CRP circulating levels in relation to standard diet-fed rats ($P<0.05$; Fig. 2a). Circulating TNF-$\alpha$ and IL-6 levels were also measured, but these values were below the detection limit in all experimental groups (TNF-$\alpha<$15.6 pg/ml; IL-6$<39$ pg/ml), thus suggesting that is not an issue in this model.

Liver TNF-$\alpha$ and IL-6 concentrations were determined as markers of liver inflammation. Atherogenic diet significantly increased both cytokines concentration in relation to SD group (TNF-$\alpha$: $P<0.001$; IL-6: $P<0.001$; Fig. 2b, c).

Combination treatment further improve inflammatory status

Insulin and combination treatment did not significantly alter adiponectinemia (Table 2). Atorvastatin significantly decreased circulating adiponectin levels as compared to AD ($P<0.001$) ADI ($P<0.001$), and ADAI groups ($P<0.001$; Table 2).

Isolated insulin and atorvastatin had no significant effects in circulating CRP and liver TNF-$\alpha$ and IL-6 levels. Only therapy combination significantly improved each of these inflammatory markers ($P<0.05$) when compared to the AD group (Fig. 3a–c). There were no observed significant differences between treatments.

High-fat diet increases systemic and hepatic oxidative stress markers

Systemic and liver protein carbonyl and free 8-isoprostane levels were determined as markers of oxidative stress. Our data show that high-fat diet increased systemic protein
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**Table 2** Total and HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL), atherogenicity index (AI), triglycerides (mg/dL), plasma FFAs (mM), and adiponectin levels ($\mu$g/ml)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>ADI</th>
<th>ADA</th>
<th>ADAI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>88.9±1.9</td>
<td>100.4±5.3**</td>
<td>105.1±3.9</td>
<td>116.4±8.1</td>
<td>96.5±4.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>61.4±1.3</td>
<td>48.8±0.9****</td>
<td>53.7±1.0****</td>
<td>59.4±2.6****</td>
<td>55.3±1.7****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>1.45±0.01</td>
<td>2.02±1.01***</td>
<td>1.96±0.06</td>
<td>1.87±0.05</td>
<td>1.74±0.04****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dL)</td>
<td>149.5±11.6</td>
<td>222.9±20.8***</td>
<td>193.7±8.5</td>
<td>271.7±23</td>
<td>196.4±12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFA (mM)</td>
<td>0.79±0.04</td>
<td>1.13±0.04***</td>
<td>0.79±0.06****</td>
<td>0.81±0.07****</td>
<td>0.69±0.06****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adiponectin ($\mu$g/ml)</td>
<td>4.6±0.3</td>
<td>5.2±0.3</td>
<td>6.1±0.6****</td>
<td>3.3±0.2****</td>
<td>4.9±0.4****</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as means±SEM

*SD standard diet, AD atherogenic diet, ADI AD + insulin, ADA AD + atorvastatin, ADAI AD + atorvastatin plus insulin ($n=12$)

$P<0.05$; **$P<0.01$; ***$P<0.001$

*Represents significant differences from SD group

b Represents significant difference from the AD group

c Represents significant difference from insulin group

d Represents significant difference from atorvastatin group

(P<0.05). All treatments prevented liver hypertrophy observed in AD group ($P<0.001$; Table 1).
carbonyl levels ($P<0.001$) and did not significantly alter free 8-isoprostane (Table 3). Liver carbonyl compounds ($P<0.001$) and free 8-isoprostane ($P<0.05$) levels were significantly higher in AD group when compared to SD group (Fig. 4a,b).

Combination with atorvastatin enhances insulin effects in decreasing liver oxidative stress

None of the treatments had a significant effect on circulating protein carbonyl compounds and urine free 8-isoprostane levels despite an increase in free 8-isoprostane observed with individual atorvastatin treatment ($P<0.05$; Table 3). Atorvastatin showed no effect in liver levels of protein carbonyl and free 8-isoprostane (Fig. 5a,b). Only insulin and its combination with atorvastatin resulted in a significant decrease of both parameters in relation to AD group (P. carbonyl: $P<0.05$; 8-isoprostane: $P<0.05$) and atorvastatin group in liver protein carbonyl levels ($P<0.05$; Fig. 5a,b). Furthermore, insulin and atorvastatin combination induced a decrease of free 8-isoprostane in relation to individual atorvastatin ($P<0.05$; Fig. 5b).
High-fat diet causes portal inflammation

High-fat-fed rats showed an unspecific portal inflammation (Fig. 6b, c) in a very significant range when compared to SD group (Fig. 6a). Hepatic steatosis and fibrosis was not observed at this age.

Combination of insulin and atorvastatin further improves portal inflammation

Individual insulin and atorvastatin (Fig. 7b,c) partially reverted inflammatory process observed in livers of high-fat-fed rats (Fig. 7a). However, combination of atorvastatin and insulin enhances the effects observed in each individual treatment (Fig. 7d). In fact, combination treatment reduced portal inflammation almost completely.

Discussion and conclusions

In this study, we show that high-fat diet aggravates systemic and liver lipid profile and inflammatory and oxidative markers in an animal model of type 2 diabetes. Our data also show that combinatorial use of insulin and atorvastatin improves these parameters beyond the effects of individual therapies, providing superior liver protection in the context of type 2 diabetes and hyperlipidemia.

Atherogenic diet administration increases body and liver weight and aggravates fasting glycemia, total and HDL-cholesterol, atherogenicity index, triglycerides, free fatty acids and liver lipids. Although circulating TNF-α and IL-6 were not measurable and significant alterations in adiponectin levels are not seen, liver TNF-α and IL-6 levels and serum CRP levels are increased in this group, suggesting an aggravated systemic and liver inflammatory status. Accordingly, histological analysis shows that despite high-fat diet not resulting in hepatic steatosis, an evident portal inflammation was induced.

A recent study from Grimsrud et al. (2007) showed that obesity and insulin resistance are associated with adipose protein carbonylation. This study identified mechanisms of protein carbonylation responsible for induction of insulin resistance. Our results suggest that these mechanisms can be involved in liver insulin resistance in conditions of hyperlipidemia: High-fat diet increases liver concentration of protein carbonyl and free 8-isoprotean.

Insulin is known to stimulate glucose and lipids uptake and oxidation in target tissues as liver (Bugianesi et al. 2005b). Our data show that insulin treatment improves fasting glycemia, insulin resistance indexes, free fatty acids, and HDL-cholesterol. However, insulin treatment does not improve CRP, adiponectin and liver lipids, and inflammatory markers. Recent data showed that insulin is not able to improve circulating adiponectin and CRP levels in diabetic mice and in type 2 diabetes patients (Aas et al. 2006; Fujita et al. 2005). Insulin can partially reduce portal inflammation, although it does not significantly decrease liver inflammatory markers. Insulin is not able to improve systemic oxidative stress markers, but a significant decrease of the same markers in hepatic tissue is observed, showing that it can be useful in reducing liver oxidative stress, a major feature of NAFLD.

Atorvastatin is commonly prescribed as a non-HDL cholesterol-decreasing agent. In agreement with previous studies, atorvastatin significantly increases HDL cholesterol and decreases free fatty acids (Bayés et al. 2005; Hernandez

**Table 3** Plasma concentration of protein carbonyl (nmol/mL) and free 8-isoprostane in urine in 24 hours (ng/24 hours)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>ADI</th>
<th>ADA</th>
<th>ADAI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prot. carbonyl (nmol/mL)</td>
<td>23.5±1.1</td>
<td>38.1±3.0***</td>
<td>35.8±3.7</td>
<td>31.0±2.2</td>
<td>33.8±2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-Isoprostane (ng/24 h)</td>
<td>23.1±2.2</td>
<td>19.7±1.2</td>
<td>21.8±2.7</td>
<td>25.5±1.2b*</td>
<td>21.2±4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as means±SEM

SD standard diet, AD atherogenic diet, ADI AD + insulin, ADA AD + atorvastatin, ADAI AD + atorvastatin plus insulin (n=8/9)

* P<0.05; **P<0.001

*a Represents significant differences from SD group

*b Represents a significant difference from the AD group
et al. 2006; Shetty et al. 2004). Until now, many studies have been done in order to test whether statins can improve inflammatory status and glucose homeostasis besides the lipid profile. Furthermore, the acute and chronic effects of statins are not fully elucidated. Recent data showed that statins have no effect on CRP levels or other inflammatory markers (Bayés et al. 2005; Lam et al. 2006), or if so, it was used in a concentration fivefold higher than the one used in our study, exceeding the concentrations used in clinical practice (Wong et al. 2006). In another recent study, simvastatin had acute CRP-lowering properties, but this effect decreased with time, returning circulating CRP levels to baseline values (Hernandez et al. 2006). In our study, we show that atorvastatin (10 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) during 30 days) is not able to significantly decrease CRP levels.

It was observed that atorvastatin has no effect on insulin resistance in dyslipidemic patients (Bayés et al. 2005). Satoh et al. (2005) showed that atorvastatin has no effect in glucose tolerance in normal GK rats, and in this study, we show that atorvastatin is also unable to improve glycemia 2 h after a glucose load in a context of hyperlipidemia in the same animal model. However, in our study, atorvastatin can improve insulin resistance indexes, although in a lesser extent to that of insulin and combination treatment.

Our data also show that atorvastatin lower adiponectin levels, which is an unexpected effect, since previous studies showed that atorvastatin has no effect on circulating adiponectin levels in diabetic patients (Bayés et al. 2005; Shetty et al. 2004). However, a recent study from Forst et al. (2007) reported that simvastatin treatment resulted in
adiponectin decrease in a non-diabetic population, and Mäuser et al. (2007) showed that atorvastatin decreases adiponectin synthesis in mature adipocytes, which may explain our data. Our results reopen the question about the interactions between atorvastatin and adiponectin.

Atorvastatin was not able to significantly reduce hepatic lipids concentration and oxidative and inflammatory markers in liver. However, histological analysis showed that atorvastatin could partially reduce the degree of liver portal inflammation.

Although individual insulin and atorvastatin reduced HOMA and QUICKI, insulin combination therapy further improves these indexes. The combination of atorvastatin and insulin also led to better glucose tolerance: Only treatments in combination are able to improve glycemia 2 h after a glucose load. As mentioned, atorvastatin decreases circulating adiponectin levels when administered isolated, but this effect is no longer observed when administered in combination with insulin. Only treatments in combination are able to reduce atherogenicity index, CRP circulating levels, and liver TNF-α and IL-6 levels. Similar results are obtained with histological analysis: Unlike individual therapies, therapeutic combination results in a marked reduction of inflammation in comparison to the high-fat diet group.

Our data show that whole body oxidative stress is not altered by the treatment combination. However, liver protein carbonyl and free 8-isoprostane levels are significantly diminished by insulin and combination treatment, suggesting that insulin has a marked role in decreasing liver oxidative stress and that atorvastatin can enhance it.

Current treatment of non-alcoholic hepatic steatosis underlies weight loss (Comar and Sterling 2006; Federico et al. 2006; Forst et al. 2007; Mäuser et al. 2007). Primary therapies act over lipids and glucose homeostasis in order to reduce insulin resistance. Available therapeutic options include antioxidants, insulin sensitizers, hepatoprotectants, and lipid-lowering drugs (Federico et al. 2006; Mäuser et al. 2007). However, specific treatments are not established due to the absence of randomized and controlled clinical trials (Federico et al. 2006).
Recent standards in medical care suggest insulin therapy in early stages of type 2 diabetes due to its effects in lowering insulin resistance (Zhou et al. 2005). However, insulin effectiveness in hepatic injuries is not elucidated. This study suggests that insulin can have beneficial effects in liver, namely in oxidative stress improvements. The usefulness and safety of statins in the liver was recently demonstrated in NAFLD patients through improvements in progression to liver fibrosis, hepatic aminotransferases, and liver lipid levels (Ekstedt et al. 2007; Georgescu and Georgescu 2007; Gómez-Domínguez et al. 2006). In a randomized study enrolling NAFLD patients, Athyros et al. (2006) observed that atorvastatin produced better results than fenofibrate in improving NAFLD and in ameliorating circulating CRP and lipid levels. Our data show that atorvastatin and insulin, by itself, have no major effects in hepatic injury associated with type 2 diabetes with hyperlipidemia. However, therapy combination produces, in this animal model, improvements in liver inflammatory and oxidative stress parameters beyond their individual components. These results offer important mechanistic data which reinforce the usefulness of this combination therapy in improving metabolic profile and protecting liver in type 2 diabetes with hyperlipidemia.
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