Early Rehospitalization Post–Kidney Transplant Due to Infectious Complications: Can We Predict the Patients at Risk?
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**ABSTRACT**

Introduction. Rehospitalization early post–kidney transplant is common and has a negative impact in morbidity, graft survival, and health costs. Infection is one the most common causes, and identifying the risk factors for early readmission due to infectious complications may guide a preventive program and improve outcome. The aim of this study was to evaluate the incidence, characterize the population, and identify the risk factors associated with early readmission for infectious complications post–kidney transplantation.

Methods. We performed a retrospective cohort study of all the kidney transplants performed during 2015. The primary outcome was readmission in the first 3 months post-transplant due to infectious causes defined by clinical and laboratory parameters.

Results. We evaluated 141 kidney transplants; 71% of subjects were men, with an overall mean age of 50.8 ± 15.4 years. Prior to transplant, 98% of the patients were dialysis dependent and 2% underwent pre-emptive living donor kidney transplant. The global readmission rate was 49%, of which 65% were for infectious complications. The most frequent infection was urinary tract infection (n = 28, 62%) and the most common agent detected by blood and urine cultures was \textit{Klebsiella pneumonia} (n = 18, 40%). The risk factors significantly associated with readmission were higher body mass index (P = .03), diabetes mellitus (P = .02), older donor (P = .007), and longer cold ischemia time (P = .04). There were 3 graft losses, but none due to infectious complications.

Conclusion. There was a high incidence of early rehospitalization due to infectious complications, especially urinary tract infections to nosocomial agents. The risk factors identified were similar to other series.

HOSPITAL readmission in early post kidney transplant (KT) period is frequent and nearly one-third of KT recipients are rehospitalized within 30 days of discharge [1,2]. Early rehospitalization (ERH) is associated with increased morbidity, transition-of-care errors, and costs both to patients and the health care system [3,4]. One of the most common causes for ERH is infection, and KT recipients have a cumulative incidence of infections of over 75% in the first year [3,5,6]. The high incidence of infection is multifactorial and related in part to the surgical procedure, immunosuppressive drugs, exposure to nosocomial pathogens, and necessity for devices such as urinary catheters and intravascular lines [7].

Despite improvements in immunosuppressive therapy and surgical techniques, infectious complications remain a major cause of morbidity, and these complications, especially urinary tract infection (UTI), have been associated with increased risk for graft rejection and general worst graft outcome [1,7,8].

The aims of this study were to evaluate the incidence of infectious complications in the first 3 months post-KT, characterize the population- and transplant-related variables, and identify risk factors associated with ERH.
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Patients and Methods

We performed a retrospective, single-center cohort study of all the 141 KTs performed during 2015 in our center. Follow-up was until March 2016, which included a post-transplant time range of 3 to 15 months.

All patients were followed up according to our center surveillance protocol: medical and nurse visit twice a week for the first month and once a week at the second and third months post-transplant. At every visit, blood tests for graft function, urinalysis, and hemogram are obtained. At the end of the third month, cytomegalovirus (CMV), Epstein-Barr virus, and donor-specific antibodies are searched in every patient. If dysfunction occurs during the time of follow-up, patients receive blood tests for CMV, Epstein bar virus, and donor-specific antibodies and Doppler ultrasound. If an infection is suspected, blood and urinary cultures are performed.

The primary outcome was hospital readmission due to infectious causes in the first 3 months post-transplant. The diagnosis of infection was defined based on clinical features at presentation, laboratory parameters (positive biological fluid cultures, CMV detection by viral proteins or isolation of the virus in the blood, C-reaction protein levels, procalcitonin, and leukocytes), and radiology exams. Demographic and baseline characteristics of the study population including donor, recipient, and transplant-related variables were abstracted from patient records.

Data were analyzed using SPSS statistics software version 15 Windows (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, Ill, USA). Comparisons used the χ² test for categorical variables and Student t test or the Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables, as appropriate. To measure odds ratios of categorical data and their significance, we used the cross-tabs method with risk estimation; for continuous variables, we used binary regression. All tests were 2 tailed; P < .05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Demographic and Transplant Characteristics of the Study Population

We evaluated 141 KTs during the study period, including 71% (n = 100) male recipients, 96% (n = 135) Caucasians, with an overall mean age of 50.8 ± 15.4 years. Prior to transplant, 98% of the patients performed dialysis (79% hemodialysis and 19% peritoneal dialysis) with a mean length of time of dialysis of 44.6 ± 28.9 months, and 3 patients (2%) underwent pre-emptive living donor KT. It was the first transplant in 92% of the patients (n = 130), and 95% (n = 134) received a cadaveric graft. Regarding immunosuppression, induction with basiliximab was performed in 84% of the recipients (n = 118), and maintenance therapy with calcineurin inhibitors was used in 94% patients (n = 133).

Readmission Rate and Infection

Overall, 69 patients were readmitted in the first 3 months post-transplant, representing a readmission rate of 49%.

Among them, 28 (41%) patients had 1 and 41 (59%) had 2 or more readmissions. Infection was the main cause for ERH, affecting 45 patients (45/69, 65%), followed by graft dysfunction (13/69, 19%) and surgical complications (11/69, 16%).

The main site of infection was the urinary tract (n = 28), followed by surgery-related infections (n = 8), infection of the dialysis access (n = 3), respiratory tract infection (n = 2), gastrointestinal infection (n = 2), and CMV infection (n = 2). Klebsiella pneumoniae was the most frequently isolated micro-organism (n = 18), followed by Pseudomonas aeruginosa (n = 5), Escherichia coli (n = 3), and Enterococcus faecium (n = 2). In one patient with respiratory tract infection, Aspergillus fumigatus was isolated. In 14 patients, no agent was isolated. The mean duration of antimicrobial therapy was 12.5 ± 7 days.

Predictors of Early Rehospitalization Due to Infectious Complications

The risk factors that were significantly associated with readmission for infectious causes in the first 3 months post-transplant were higher body mass index (26.3 vs 24.4, P = .03; odds ratio [OR] = 1.254, 95% confidence interval [1.09–1.348], P < .05), the presence of diabetes mellitus (DM) (OR = 3.27 [1.1–9.6], P < .05), older donor (56.5 vs 51.2, P = .007, OR = 1.03 per year [1.02–1.063], P < .05), and longer cold ischemia time (19.0 vs 16.9; P = .04, OR = 1.7 per hour [1.04–2.67], P < .05; Table 1).

Follow-up

Time of follow-up varied between 3 and 15 months, and no graft lost or death was registered. The mean value of serum creatinine at follow-up was 1.65 ± 0.42 mg/dL.

Table 1. Epidemiology and Risk Factors for Rehospitalization Due to Infectious Complications During the First 3 Months Post-Kidney Transplant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Rehospitalized</th>
<th>Not Rehospitalized</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recipient characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>52.2 ± 18</td>
<td>49.4 ± 13.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, female (n)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.3 ± 3.8</td>
<td>24.4 ± 3.7</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialysis duration (mo)</td>
<td>44.9 ± 23</td>
<td>43.8 ± 29</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, yes (n)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urological pathology, yes (n)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transplant characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor age (y)</td>
<td>56.5 ± 8.7</td>
<td>51.2 ± 11.3</td>
<td>.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living donor, yes (n)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retransplant, yes (n)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA mismatches (mean)</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold ischemia time (h)</td>
<td>18.8 ± 4.7</td>
<td>16.8 ± 6.2</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical complications during</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transplantation (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary catheterization (d)</td>
<td>6.5 ± 3.2</td>
<td>6.1 ± 2.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed graft function (n)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: NS, not significant.
DISCUSSION

Readmission in early post-KT period is frequent, and studies report frequencies of up to 40% in the first year, with higher rates in the first 6 months [1,2,9]. Our primary outcome was defined at 3 months post-KT because, in our center, this is the period considered of higher risk for early complications and patients have more frequent hospital visits.

In our study, the global rate of EHR was 49%, and the main causes for readmission were infection, graft dysfunction, and surgical complications, which is similar to data present in the literature [3]. Of all the above, infectious complications were the most frequent reason for HER, representing 65% (n = 45) of all the readmissions and 32% in the general study population. This tendency of increased infectious events over surgical complications and graft dysfunction has been noticed in the past years [5,6]. Advances in immunosuppression have led to more effective drugs that reduce the rate of acute rejection and consequently graft dysfunction but with the downside of increased infection risk [10,11]. The lower incidence of surgical complications may be partly due to improvements in surgical transplant techniques [7]. Despite that, in our sample, 8 patients had concomitant infection and surgical complications, a common feature in KT [12].

The main cause of infection in our study was UTI (62%), consistent with literature data [13]. The higher susceptibility of UTI in KT recipients is multifactorial: they underwent a urological surgical procedure, present an immunosuppressed status, and have higher contact with nosocomial pathogens and invasive devices such as intravascular lines and urinary catheters [7].

There is controversy regarding urinary catheter removal: on the one hand, it is needed for maintaining urine drainage and to prevent of early obstruction, urinary leaks, and vesical fistulas and to better monitor diuresis [14]; on the other hand, it has been demonstrated that the duration of urethral catheterization is one of the most important risk factor for UTI, and advantages of early removal of the catheter have been emphasized [15]. The same controversy is present regarding double J ureteric stents: they decrease fistulas, hematuria, and stenosis rates but increase infection risk [16]. In our center, the mean time for urinary catheterization is 6.3 ± 2.7 days, and there was no significant difference between groups (Table 1).

The main risk factors for EHR due to infection identified in our study were higher body mass index, the presence of DM, older donor, and longer cold ischemia time. Obese recipients have higher rates of prolonged length of hospital stay, wound dehiscence, and de novo post-transplant DM, and all of these factors are related to increased risk of infection [17]. DM is associated with earlier readmission in several studies due to various causes, including infection [5,18]. Patients with DM are more likely to have several other comorbidities, making them a highly vulnerable patient population. Patients who receive grafts from extended criteria donors have significant greater risk of post-KT infection [19], which can be related to both donor and receptor, regarding the paradigm of old-for-old transplantation. Longer cold ischemia time triggers a cascade of noxious effects that increase inflammatory and immune responses that potentially result in worst graft and patient outcomes [20]. The exacerbated immune-inflammatory response in patients with longer cold ischemia time may induce the rescue of inflammatory cells, increasing the risk of infection in KT recipients [10].

Unplanned readmissions in early post-KT is associated with worse short- and long-term clinical outcomes, especially frequent rehospitalizations [4]. Although our study presented a short follow-up, no graft or patient loss was registered.

CONCLUSION

In our study, readmission in the first 3 months post-transplant due to infectious complications occurred in 32% of the recipients, and the risk factors were higher body mass index, the presence of DM, older donor, and longer cold ischemia time. Recognizing modifiable risk factors is of major importance regarding graft and patient outcome. KT transplant guidelines already take into account the prevention of the most common infections in the early post-KT period, including the prophylaxis of CMV infection and Pneumocystis carinii [21]. Patients identified as vulnerable from ERH due to infection may benefit from additional or different forms of monitoring and antibiotic therapy management.
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