Abstract

Ocular rosacea is an important and underdiagnosed chronic inflammatory disorder observed in children. A clinical spectrum ranging from chronic eyelid inflammation, recurrent ocular redness, photophobia and/or hordeola/chalazions and conjunctival/corneal phlyctenules evolving to neovascularization and scarring may occur. Visual impairment and consequent amblyopia are frequent and corneal perforation although rare is the most feared complication. Ocular manifestations usually precede cutaneous lesions. Although few cases of pediatric ocular rosacea (POR) have been reported in the literature, many cases must have been underdiagnosed or misdiagnosed. The delay in diagnosis is greater than one year in the large majority of cases and may lead to serious ocular sequelae. This review aims to highlight the clinical features of POR, its epidemiology, easy diagnosis and effective treatment. We also propose new diagnostic criteria, in which at least three of the five clinical criteria must be present: (1) Chronic or recurrent keratoconjunctivitis and/or red eye and/or photophobia; (2) Chronic or recurrent blepharitis and/or chalazia/hordeola; (3) Eyelid telangiectasia documented by an ophthalmologist; (4) Primary periorificial dermatitis and/or primary features of rosacea; and (5) Positive familial history of cutaneous and/or ocular rosacea.
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Core tip: Ocular rosacea is a chronic inflammatory disorder with a clinical spectrum ranging from chronic eyelid inflammation, recurrent ocular redness, photophobia and/or hordeola/chalazions and conjunctival/corneal phlyctenules. Although few cases of pediatric ocular rosacea (POR) have been reported in the literature, many cases must have been underdiagnosed or misdiagnosed. This delay in diagnosis may lead to serious ocular sequelae. This review aims to highlight the clinical features...
of POR, its epidemiology, new diagnostic criteria, treatment and outcomes.


INTRODUCTION
Rosacea is a chronic condition affecting the facial and ocular surface tissues, particularly common in the fair-skinned population[1-4]. The American National Rosacea Society developed a classification system that became the standard one. According to the patterns of signs and symptoms, four major clinical subtypes of rosacea are described: Erythematotelangiectatic, papulopustular, phymatous and ocular rosacea. In children, the phymatous type is not seen[1-4]. These subtypes may be discrete variants or may be progressive from one to another; and they can also coexist. Ocular rosacea is one of the four described types of rosacea, characterized by involvement of eyelids, conjunctiva and corneal tissue[1-4].

The prevalence of ophthalmic involvement in rosacea is probably higher than previously presumed and it varies considerably between ophthalmic and dermatological studies[5-13]. In most adult cases, ocular manifestations are preceded by cutaneous signs, making the diagnosis easier. However, in pediatric ocular rosacea (POR) the ocular involvement may precede dermatologic manifestations in more than half of the patients[5-8], delaying the diagnosis. The mean delay between disease onset and the diagnosis is greater than one year in most case series[6,8-11], and greater than two years in more than half of cases[6,8-10]. Before the established diagnosis, many patients are seen by multiple ophthalmologists and/or other clinicians and receive various types of therapy, including topical antibiotics, topical corticosteroids, lubricants and antiallergic drops, without success[6,8,12,13]. In fact, the management must be multidisciplinary, including dermatologists, ophthalmologists and pediatricians.

The non-recognition of POR can also be a result of the varied names adopted in the literature and the lack of overall consensus: Chronic blepharokeratoconjunctivitis[6,9-11,14-18], blepharokeratitis[19], chronic phlyctenular keratoconjunctivitis[8,10,18], phlyctenular blepharokeratoconjunctivitis[10,14,18], and meibomitis-related keratoconjunctivitis[10].

The main aim of this review is to make the children’s health care providers aware of POR, by highlighting its clinical features, epidemiology, easy diagnosis and treatment. We also propose POR criteria.

EPIDEMIOLOGIC DATA
Few cases of POR have been reported in the literature. In 13 published series[5-17], a total of 259 patients were found and the number of patients in each varied between 3[16] and 51[18]. In another series, the largest ever published about POR, the sample included 615 cases, most of them described as mild, by Gupta et al[18] in 2010. In others studies, the number of cases was smaller probably because only severe cases were reported[5-17].

POR is mostly diagnosed by ophthalmologists, making this condition rare for other physicians and is underdiagnosed or misdiagnosed by them[9,13,15,16]. In two tertiary centers of ophthalmology, in Philadelphia[10] and in New Delhi[18], chronic blepharokeratoconjunctivitis was the reason for referral in 15% and 12.3% of all children, respectively.

In the 259 patients of the 13 series[5-17], 162 are girls (62.5%) and 97 are boys (37.5%), the opposite of what was found by Gupta et al[18] (37.5% vs 62.5%).

The median age of onset in five of the 13 series (122 patients) varied between 3.2 years and 7.0 years, with extremes of 1 mo and 17 years[6,8,9,11,17]. There is a significant delay in diagnosis, often more than two years, justifying the median age at presentation in tertiary centers, between 4.6 years and 10.2 years[6,7,11,18].

A positive family history for rosacea was found in nine of the 34 patients of two series (26.5%)[5,8]. However, in most series family history wasn’t reported. Since children with rosacea are more likely to have familial rosacea[1-8], it is important to obtain this clinical data, which can help suggesting the diagnosis.

Bamford et al[20] demonstrated that having a hordeolum during childhood predisposes for rosacea in adulthood, underlying the close relationship between ocular and cutaneous inflammation. Ocular rosacea may occur without cutaneous manifestations and in individuals with any subtype of rosacea, although it is noteworthy that 50% of patients with erythematotelangiectatic and papulopustular types have eye inflammation[10,12].

Fair-skinned children of European descent are more commonly affected, although any ethnic group can be afflicted[4,5,8,12].

OUR EXPERIENCE IN POR
Since July of 2009, we have diagnosed and treated eight cases of POR: Three males and five females. They were referred to our tertiary Pediatric Rheumatology and Ophthalmology Units due to chronic red eye of unknown etiology, most of them after a medical peregrination and multiple ineffective topical treatments.

Their median age was 10 years (3-16 years) with an established diagnosis two years after the first symptoms (0-7 years); the mean previous medical consultations was eight (0-30 consultations), including at least one evaluation for an ophthalmologist in each (maximum of 13 different ophthalmologists). Two children have evolved to leukomas (Figure 1) and a decrease in visual acuity (7/10 and 8/10 respectively), what are persistent sequelae. We have already published the first two cases diagnosed at our unit[21].
ETIOLOGY, PATHOPHYSIOLOGY AND HISTOPATHOLOGY

The exact etiopathogenetic mechanism of rosacea and OR remains unknown. There are probably different regulatory systems involved. The infection by microbial organisms may have an important role. In OR, Demodex folliculorum mites, a common inhabitant of normal human skin, possibly represents a contributing cofactor to the inflammatory reaction seen in both cutaneous and ocular disease.

Recently, bacterium Bacillus oleronius has been isolated from Demodex folliculorum mites and found to be responsible to trigger an immune system response. These seem to have a correlation with facial rosacea and OR.

Gastric coinfection with Helicobacter pylori has also been implicated, since this bacteria has the ability to produce flush-inducing toxins. Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis are common organisms cultured from conjunctival or lid swabs, but their relationship with OR is questionable.

Recent studies focus on the role of bacterial lipases and interleukin-1 alpha and elevated concentrations of promatrix metalloproteinases in the blepharitis and corneal epitheliopathy, respectively. Promatrix metalloproteinases are degrading enzymes responsible for the inferior corneal stromal thinning.

Rosacea induces vasodilation with increased blood flow and vessel permeability leading to erythema, telangiectasias and lymphedema of the affected tissues, especially in the eyelids. The histopathological changes are unspecific, showing perifollicular infiltrates consisting of lymphohistiocytes, epithelioid and giant cells.

CLINICAL MANIFESTATIONS

The clinical spectrum and severity of POR is variable, depending on the involvement of eyelids, conjunctiva, cornea and other ocular findings. The first manifestations of POR can be chronic conjunctivitis, recurrent hordeola and/or chronic chalazia, which are quite frequent in childhood, explaining the common delay in the diagnosis of this condition. Nevertheless, POR is often silent, painless and has unspecified clinical manifestations.

Table 1 shows the different ocular findings in POR.

The most common manifestations are blepharitis, recurrent hordeola/chalazia, telangiectasias of the lid margin, dry eye, conjunctivitis and keratitis, frequently in association (blepharoconjunctivitis, blepharokeratoconjunctivitis). The typical clinical picture is a long history of hyperemic conjunctiva and intense photophobia associated with chronic blepharitis, explaining why POR is frequently called blepharoconjunctivitis.

Combining 12 series, including 245 patients, 185 (75.5%) had bilateral involvement, generally asymmetrical. In the Gupta et al. series only 47.5% had bilateral involvement.

Eyelid involvement may precede the other features in months to years, because it is primarily an eyelid margin inflammation, such as blepharitis or meibomitis. Corneal and conjunctival are secondarily involved.

The ocular symptoms include foreign body sensation, pain, burning, redness, photophobia and epiphora. As a consequence of the long diagnostic delay, more than a half of the children have already corneal injuries at diagnosis, such as punctate epithelial erosions.
subepithelial infiltrates, corneal phlyctenules, marginal keratitis, ulceration and corneal opacity. Pediatric corneal involvement tends to be central or paracentral.

Depending on the severity, conjunctival and/or corneal phlyctenules may be present in 5.5% up to almost 40%.

The primary features of pediatric facial rosacea are chronic facial flushing, non-transient erythema, papules and pustules (limited to the cheeks, chin and nasolabial areas), telangiectasias, idiopathic periorificial dermatitis and the ocular and periocular signs previously described. Onset and severity of POR is not associated with the cutaneous signs.

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

As previously mentioned, symptoms of POR aren’t always specific and other ophthalmic disorders may present with similar findings, so the differential diagnosis includes a broad spectrum: Chronic conjunctivitis (viral, allergic, atopic), keratoconjunctivitis sicca, meibomitis, recurrent hordeola/chalazia, staphylococcal blepharoconjunctivitis, medication toxicity, interstitial or infectious (herpes simplex) keratitis, sterile or bacterial corneal ulcers, auto-immune diseases, sarcoidosis, among others (Table 2).

PROPOSED CRITERIA FOR POR

There are no specific clinical signs neither laboratory test nor histopathological markers for POR. Chamaillard et al and Hong et al have proposed “dermatologic and ophthalmologic criteria for childhood rosacea”. However, in these clinical criteria four of five are cutaneous manifestations. Cetinkaya et al have also proposed the “pediatric acne rosacea diagnostic criteria” as a combination of meibomian disease, chronic blepharitis, recurrent chalazia and chronic symptoms of photophobia, ocular irritation and redness, with or without corneal vascularization, that do not respond to routine medical treatment. For Léoni et al, the diagnostic criteria of POR requires two ophthalmologic and/or two dermatologic criteria.

Considering the above mentioned publications, in Table 3 we propose a new diagnostic criteria for POR. As in the previous proposed diagnostic criteria, ocular redness may be absent. The diagnosis of POR should be multidisciplinary, with the contribution of dermatologists, ophthalmologists and pediatricians. The presence of lid margin telangiectasia and erythema, together with meibomian gland dysfunction (chronic chalazia) and a long history of ocular irritation should suggest the diagnosis of POR, especially if there is no response to routine medical treatment.

TREATMENT

The initial therapeutic approach should always include local measures, such as daily warm compresses, eyelid hygiene with neutral baby shampoo and liquefaction and removal of the thick meibomian gland secretions. Prolonged topical erythromycin ointment or, more recently, azithromycin 1.5% eye drops may be useful and effective in mild cases and in association with other treatments. Although very few publications support their efficacy and its administration in children is difficult, these eye drops are usually used. Doan et al described their experience with topical 1.5% azithromycin eye drops (monotherapy) being superior to systemic erythromycin and considered it as a first-line therapy.

Children that prove to be intolerant to prolonged topical treatment or with severe ocular involvement and/or both severe cutaneous and ocular rosacea must be treated with systemic antibiotics associated to topical care. Tetracycline and doxycycline, normally used in adults, are inadvisable in children younger than 7-8 years due to their potential bone toxicity and dental staining. Alternative safe and effective options are: Erythromycin (30-50 mg/kg per day, three times a day), doxycycline (15 mg/kg per day divided in two doses) or azithromycin (10-12 mg/kg per day, one dose). Treatment with oral metronidazole is another possibility, but its frequent neurologic adverse effects, particularly peripheral neuropathy, forbids prolonged therapies. Effective
amoxicillin treatment has also been described.[15]

Intermittent treatments are necessary if shorter periods of systemic antibiotics are used.[15,7,11] Since long-term use of oral antibiotics may be problematic, it has been suggested that after six to twelve months of treatment oral therapy should be tapered slowly.[5,7,10,12] Hence, therapeutic success is directly related to its duration, by reducing the number of recurrences. Prolonged treatments (over three months) may be required.[5,8,10,13-16], with some publications recommending systemic antibiotic for at least six months[10] and others for a minimum of 12 mo.[12]. Some patients will need oral antibiotics during several years, but most children may be tapered off within six months of treatment.[6,10]

Table 3 Proposed diagnostic criteria of Coimbra for pediatric ocular rosacea

| Chronic or recurrent keratoconjunctivitis and/or red eye and/or photophobia |
| Chronic or recurrent blepharitis and/or hordeola/chalazia |
| Eyelid telangiectasia documented by an ophthalmologist |
| Primary features of pediatric rosacea (facial convex areas with chronic flushing and/or erythema and/or telangiectasia, and/or papule, pustules in cheeks, chin, nose or central forehead and/or primary periorificial dermatitis) |
| Positive familial history of cutaneous and/or ocular rosacea |

Diagnosis: ≥ 3 criteria; ^Chronic (≥ 2 mo); Recurrent (≥ 3 episodes lasting > 4 wk in 12 mo).

OUTCOMES/SEQUELAE

POR can wax and wane with a recurrence rate of 40%.[10]. Affected children suffer from chronic conjunctivitis, corneal pannus, corneal neovascularization, generalized keratitis and meibomian gland disease. Chronic symptoms and frequent exacerbations may lead to tissue hypertrophy, extensive neovascularization, scarring, corneal opacification, corneal perforation and complications from secondary infections[1,4-8,11-13,15,18]. Some patients may develop raised intraocular pressure and cataract, possibly with relation to chronic topical steroid therapy.[15]. The duration of the disease and the corneal involvement are the determining factors of severity[4,6,13]. Furthermore, a prolonged therapy regimen is required to minimize corneal scarring and visual loss. Gradual tapering is recommended to avoid relapses[4,6,13]. Thus, POR can be a source of significant visual morbidity in children[3,9,6,15,18].

In comparison to adults, children seem to be more susceptible to corneal morbidity imposed by the inflammatory and immune response to periocular bacteria. This may compromise vision development, which combined with the position of the opacities in the cornea may be complicated by secondary amblyopia[4,6,8,10,12].

TAKE HOME MESSAGES

OR is a subtype of rosacea, which is a chronic inflammatory disease; POR is frequently under and misdiagnosed, so it is probably more common than we previously thought; POR may be associated with high morbidity, development of sequelae and it is a possible cause of loss of vision;
The diagnosis is facilitated by the proposed POR criteria; an ophthalmologist observation is mandatory for the diagnosis, but it should be suggested by pediatricians or dermatologists; treatment requires a minimum of three months’ antibiotic therapy and a subsequent gradual tapering.
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