ABSTRACT

Cova da Beira is an interior central region of Portugal, with a population of 93,000 inhabitants. The first pollen counts performed in Portugal revealed the highest values of the country in this area. The aim of this study was to assess the aeroallergens sensitization in an allergic population, according to the age groups. In a 5 year period (1995-2000) 1,790 consecutive outpatients were observed for suspected allergic symptoms. We included in this study all the 557 paediatric patients (317 male (57 %) and 240 female (43 %) with an average age of 7.6 ± 4.2 years old). They were divided in three age groups (Group I: ≤ 5yr; Group II: 6-10yr; Group III: 11-15yr).

371 patients were submitted to skin prick tests to aeroallergens. 86.5 % of these patients were sensitised to at least one allergen extract. The most representative aeroallergens sensitization were grasses mixture (44.9 %), *D. pteronyssinus* (32.5 %), *D. farinae* (29.1 %), *Olea europea* (27.5 %), *Parietaria judaica* (23.4 %), cat dander (16.1 %), *Artemisia vulgaris* (17.6 %), *Robinia pseudoacacia* (12.2 %), *Platanus acerifolia* (11.4 %), *Tilia cordata* (11.4 %) moulds mixture (11.2 %), *Plantago lanceolata* (10.6 %), dog dander (10.4 %), and *Pinus radiata* (7.5 %).

The sensitisation to indoor aeroallergens, was similar in all age groups and it was less important than that of pollens. The prevalence of sensitisation to grasses was the greatest in all ages and the house dust mites sensitization was the second most prevalent. The highest pollens counts in this region could explain the early sensitisation even in young children.
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RESUMEN

Cova da Beira es una región interior del centro de Portugal con una población de 93.000 habitantes. Los primeros recuentos de polen realizados en Portugal revelaron que en esta zona se hallan los valores más elevados del país. El objetivo del presente estudio fue evaluar la sensibilización a los aeroalérgenos en una población alérgica según los grupos de edad. En un período de 5 años (1995-2000) se observaron 1,790 pacientes ambulatorios consecutivos con posibles síntomas alérgicos.

En el estudio incluimos a los 557 pacientes pediátricos (de ≤ 15 años) observados (317 niños (57 %) y 240 niñas (43 %), con una edad media de 7,6 ± 4,2 años). Se dividieron en tres grupos de edad (grupo I: ≤ 5 años; grupo II: 6-10 años; grupo III: 11-15 años).

Se sometieron 371 pacientes a pruebas cutáneas a aeroalérgenos. El 86.5 % de los mismos estaban sensibilizados a al menos un extracto de alérgeno. Los alérgenos más significativos frente a los que se observó sensibilización fueron: mezcla de hierbas (44.9 %), *D. pteronyssinus* (32.5 %), *D. farinae* (29.1 %), *Olea europea* (27.5 %), *Parietaria judaica.*
(23.4 %), caspa de gato (16.1 %), Artemisia vulgaris (17.6 %), Robinia pseudoacacia (12.2 %), Platanus acerifolia (11.4 %), Tilia cordata (11.4 %), mezcla de mohos (11.2 %), Plantago lanceolata (10.6 %), caspa de perro (10.4 %) y Pinus radiata (7.5 %).

La sensibilización a los aeroalergénos domésticos fue similar en todos los grupos de edad y menos importante que la de los pólens. La prevalencia de la sensibilización a las herbas fue la principal en todos los grupos de edad, siendo la segunda en prevalencia la sensibilización a los ácaros domésticos. Los elevados niveles de polen de la región podrían explicar la sensibilización precoz incluso en niños de muy corta edad.


INTRODUCTION

Cova da Beira is a inland central region of Portugal, located in the latitude 40°16’, the longitude 7°30’ and in the altitude of 600 m above the sea level and has a continental climate.

The local flora includes Castanea, Olea, Pinus, Ficus, Erionotyra, Acacia, Prunus, Platanus, Pseudotsuga, Tilia, Rubus, Allanthus, Cytisius, Umbilicus, Rumex, Parietaria, Mercurialis, Polypodium and Sedum. The gardens in this city includes Vitis, Arundo and Anagallis, Brassicaceae Labiatae, Compositae, Tiliaeae and Platanaceae. In the valley of this region there are cultivated fruit trees.

In the first polinic map carried out throughout the whole country (March 1999-March 2000), this area had the highest pollen counts in Portugal (SPAIC/Schering-Plough). The genera more frequently identified were Olea (31 %), Castanea sativa (21 %), grasses (7 %), Urtica type B (5 %), Urtica type A (5 %), Platanus (5 %), Allanthus (2 %), Rumex acetosella (1 %), Cupressaceae (1 %), Quercus deciduus (1 %), Quercus coccifera (1 %) and other pollens (16 %).

The 93 000 inhabitants of this region constitutes a homogeneous population (without any external interferences, namely migration).

Skin prick testing (SPT) is a simple method to determine sensitisation to aeroallergens in epidemiologic studies.

The aeroallergen sensitisation prevalence in general population is related to different factors. In each region, the sensitisation reflects the allergens exposure and the influence of other environmental factors such as pollution.

Nowadays, the different aerobiology networks in Europe, using the same methodology, made available data concerning pollen concentration of different species. But it is very difficult to compare the different clinical studies available on literature, because of the heterogeneity of the design, the very discrepant samples, and the different aeroallergens tested. The Position paper published in 1998 is a relevant document that extensively analyses all the studies concerning pollen sensitisation in Europe. The EFA study, performed in 2000, showed the impact of the allergic diseases in 10 countries, and this information was consistent with the European Allergy White Paper contents.

The aeroallergen sensitisation prevalence studies in paediatric patients reveals predominance of house dust mites (HDM) sensitisation comparing to pollen sensitisation.

The aeroallergen sensitisation in this region has never been studied before. The aim of this study was to assess the aeroallergens cutaneous reactivity in an allergic population of Cova da Beira, as well its prevalence according to different age groups.

METHODS

During a five year period, from May 1996 to May 2000, 1790 consecutive outpatients were observed for suspected allergic symptoms. In the first observation some patients were submitted to skin prick tests (SPT) to aeroallergens (ALK-Abelló, Spain), including at least 20 local flora species: Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus, Dermatophagoides farinae, Acar-cinos,;, Batella mixture, moulds mixture, Candida albicans, cat and dog dander, grasses mixture, Parietaria judaica, Artemisia vulgaris, Plantago lanceolata, Chaenopodium album, Olea europea, Robinia pseudoacacia, Platanus acerifolia, Tilia cordata, Pinus radiata and Betula pubescens. According to the clinical history there were performed SPT with other aeroallergens such as storage mites and another pollen allergens including: Dactylis glomerata, Urtica dioica, Alnus glutinosa, Euca-

lyptus globus, Fraxinus excelsior, Quercus robur, Amygdalus communis, Malus pumila, Pyrus communis, Prunus cerasus and Prunus persica. SPT were performed according to international guidelines. It was considered positive for a wheal > 3 mm above the negative control.

We included in this study all the patients who were submitted to SPT. We divided the total popul-
tion in two groups of patients, according to age. The paediatric patients (≤15 years old) were divided in three age subgroups: group I including children 5 years old; group II including children from 6 to 10 years old; and group III including children from 11 to 15 years old.

We analysed the aeroallergens sensitization prevalence of the total population and according to the age groups.

RESULTS

During a 5 year period (1995-2000), 1790 consecutive outpatients were observed for suspected allergic symptoms. 557 patients were included in the paediatric group (≤15 years old) (table I). 1096 patients were submitted to SPT, including 371 paediatric patients (table II). These paediatric patients were divided in three age subgroups: group I included 85 children, group II included 165 schoolchildren and group III included 121 adolescent (table II).

The figure 1 represents the aeroallergens sensitisation prevalence in the total population. The aeroallergens sensitisation prevalence in the paediatric group, compared to the adult patients group, is represented in figures 2, 3, 4 and 5. Figures 6, 7, 8 and 9 represents the most relevant aeroallergens sensitisation prevalence in the age paediatric subgroups.

The table I and II present the demographic data of the total population and according to the age groups.

Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>M:F</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1790</td>
<td>0.7:1</td>
<td>30.5 ± 20.9</td>
<td>1263</td>
<td>527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤15 years</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>1.3:1</td>
<td>7.6 ± 4.2</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;15 years</td>
<td>1233</td>
<td>0.5:1</td>
<td>40.8 ± 16.9</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>403</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>M:F</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1096</td>
<td>0.68:1</td>
<td>26.5 ± 17.2</td>
<td>910 (83%)</td>
<td>186 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤15 years</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>1.2:1</td>
<td>8.6 ± 3.6</td>
<td>321 (86.5%)</td>
<td>50 (13.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>5 years old</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1.5:1</td>
<td>3.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>64 (75.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>6-10 years old</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>1.1:1</td>
<td>7.9 ± 1.4</td>
<td>145 (87.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>11-15 years old</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>12.9 ± 1.4</td>
<td>112 (92.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;15 years</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>0.49:1</td>
<td>35.7 ± 13.9</td>
<td>589 (81.3%)</td>
<td>136 (18.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1.—Aeroallergens sensitisation prevalence in total population (%).
is not represented in the graphics because it is under 2%, in total population and also in the age subgroups.

DISCUSSION

The population studied showed a high aeroallergens sensitisation (83%) with the paediatric population presenting the highest values (86.5%) compared to the adult one (81.3%).

The cutaneous reactivity to the tested aeroallergens revealed a sensitisation prevalence in the general population: grasses mixture (44.9%), *D. pteronyssinus* (32.5%), *D. farinae* (29.1%), *Olea europea* (27.5%), *Parietaria judaica* (23.4%), cat dander (16.1%), *Artemisia vulgaris* (17.6%), *Robinia pseudoacacia* (12.2%), *Pittania acerifolia* (11.4%), *Tilia cordata* (11.4%) moulds mixture (11.2%), *Plantago lanceolata* (10.6%), Dog dander (10.4%), and *Pinus radiata* (7.5%).

Grasses sensitisation prevalence was the highest in the general population. The most relevant grasses species were *Dactylis glomerata* (31.8%), *Festuca pratensis* (31.3%), *Lolium perenne* (28.3%), *Secale cereale* (25.6%), *Phleum pratense* (19.9%), *Poa pratensis* (18.5%), *Plantago lanceolata* (10.8%), *Brassica napus* (1.4%).

In several studies performed in other regions in Portugal the grasses sensitisation prevalence was lower, ranging from 12.6% to 36%, except in one study performed in Alentejo.
South of Portugal) that showed a prevalence of sensitisation to grasses similar to our study. However in the Alentejo population sensitisation to grasses was the second most relevant following house dust mites (HDM) sensitisation.

Grasses are important aeroallergens all over Europe. And they are the most relevant polinic aeroallergens in Portugal and in Southern Europe. Sensitisation to HDM was the second most important in this population. In other studies performed in other regions of Portugal, HDM sensitisation prevalence is the highest (from 14.3% to 97%,) followed by grasses sensitisation.

The *Olea europea* sensitisation prevalence was the third most relevant (27.5%) in this population. It was higher than in other portuguese studies, but similar to studies performed in Mediterranean areas.

Sensitisation to *Parietaria* was higher (23.4%) than in other portuguese and spanish studies, but lower than in italian studies. *Parietaria* is a widespread plant in the south of Europe, mainly in the coastal areas reaching the highest ratios of sensitisation, and inducing bronchial symptoms usually severe in adolescent and young adult patients. However, there has been an obvious increase in children.

Sensitisation to moulds showed lower values compared to pollens, HDM and dander. Moulds sensitisation prevalence was 11.2%, a similar value to those founded by other authors. However this result is very discrepant from other portuguese studies showing lower values (3%).

The polinic sensitisation has not been assumed to be relevant in paediatric patients. In this study we found a prevalence of sensitisation to pollens of 47.9%.

**Figure 6** — HDM, dander and moulds sensitisation prevalence (%) in paediatric population.

**Figure 7** — Grasses sensitisation prevalence (%) in paediatric population.

**Figure 8** — Weeds sensitisation prevalence (%) in paediatric population.

**Figure 9** — Trees sensitisation prevalence (%) in paediatric population.
analysed the aeroallergens sensitisation according to age groups. We observed that sensitisation to grasses was the most relevant in the paediatric group (< 15 years old), followed by HDM, Olea europaea, Parietaria judaica, cat and dog dander and moulds, in decrecent order. The same pattern was observed in the total population.

Comparing the paediatric and the adult groups (figs. 2-5) we observed that grasses sensitisation was greater in paediatric group (55.7 % versus 40.2 % in adult patients). Olea europaea sensitisation was similar in paediatric and adult groups. Parietaria judaica sensitisation was lower in the paediatric patients. HDM, cat and dog dander and moulds sensitisation was similar in both age groups.

Then we analysed the aeroallergens sensitisation in age subgroups. HDM, moulds and cat and dog dander sensitisation was similar in each age subgroup (fig. 6). We found that grasses sensitisation occurred in very young patients (41.1 % in subgroup II, and that grasses were the most relevant aeroallergen in this subgroup, followed by HDM. However grasses sensitisation becomes more important in subgroup II (67.5 %) and in subgroup III (66.5 %). This pattern is observed for each grass sensitisation (fig. 7), and also for Olea europaea sensitisation (fig. 9) and the other trees. Concerning Parietaria judaica, sensitisation the subgroup II showed the lowest value and subgroup III the highest one. We observed that each weed presented a different sensitisation pattern according to age subgroups (fig. 8).

The aeroallergen sensitisation prevalence in paediatric patients revealed predominance of house dust mites (HDM) sensitisation comparing to pollen sensitisation. Among trees, sensitisation was lower in the paediatric population (< 15 years old), followed by Olea europaea, Parietaria judaica, cat and dog dander and moulds sensitisation in decreasing order. The same pattern was observed in the total population.

In an interesting study of a cohort including 1456 subjects, in the Isle of Wight (UK) from 1989 to 1990 the children were submitted to skin prick tests at the age of 4 years. Sensitisation to grasses was 7.8 % (981 out of 1218 individuals), and it was the second most relevant aeroallergen sensitisation after HDM (11.9 %). Pollen allergy sensitisation was demonstrated in 1101 random children aged 8 to 11 years from Freiburg school (Germany). 19.7 % were sensitised to pollens. The rates of sensitisation were low in Sosnowiec, Poland, on a random sample of 2000 children, 10.3-10.8 %.

In 1995 the Spanish Allergologica study evaluated the allergic sensitisation in 10 regions. Grass pollen was the major allergen inducing allergic complaints with a prevalence ranging within 22 % to 77 %. Olea europaea allergy was more frequent in the southern areas, related to the olive tree culture, being irrelevant on the North. Parietaria sensitisation ranged from 0.9 % to 43.1 %, being more frequent in the coastal Southern areas. Allergy to Compositae (Artemisia vulgaris) and Chaenopodium pollen was reported to the Southern regions and the continental northern area of Aragon.

This study showed very low pollen sensitisation prevalence in small children (< 6 years old). The pollen sensitisation prevalence in children from 6 to 14 years old was: grasses 29.6 %, Olea europaea 25.9 % and Parietaria judaica 5.9 %. These pollen sensitisation were greater in adults. These studies represent the highly heterogeneity of sensitisation throughout Europe. The genetic, the climate, the geography, the local flora (changes related to the forest fires, agricultural methods and cultivation, importation of non-natives species), the pollution and the demography are probably responsible for these different patterns. It is very important to design studies that could be compared and could be representative of the different countries or regions.

We observed that pollen sensitisation has a great impact in Cova da Beira allergic population: Grasses sensitisation is the most prevalent in all age groups and sensitisation to other pollen shows high prevalence. In other studies performed in Portugal, as referred, HDM is the most relevant aeroallergen sensitisation followed by pollen sensitisation with lower values, compared to our study.

In the first pollen counts carried out throughout the whole country (March 1999-March 2000), this area had the highest values in Portugal, the weather, the local flora and the geographic characteristics could be some of the contributing factors to the long pollinic peaks observed in this region. This could ex-
plain the early pollen sensitisation observed in this paediatric population. Other environment factors, such as pollution, should be studied to characterize the aeroallergens sensitisation observed in this population. Indeed, the influence of pollution in pollen allergenicty is well described in urban areas. This is a homogeneous population, living in a well defined region of Portugal, with outexternal influences such as migration. In summary, the sensitisation to indoor aeroallergens, was similar in all age groups and it was less important than that of pollens. The prevalence of sensitisation to grasses was the greatest in all ages and the highest pollen counts in this region could explain the early sensitisation even in young children, but other factors such as the pollution/environmental exposure (urban versus rural) has to be studied to understand the high rates of pollen sensitisation founded in this population, including in the paediatric groups.
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