Influence of normal mammary epithelium on breast cancer progression: the protective role of early pregnancy
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ABSTRACT

Aims and background. The microenvironment has a well-recognized role in breast cancer progression. Despite different theories, the mechanism of early pregnancy protection in mammary carcinogenesis is unknown. Since pregnancy is responsible for mammary gland differentiation, we tested the hypothesis that differentiated mammary epithelial cells may inhibit breast cancer progression. In other words, the protective role of early pregnancy could be due to the inhibitory influences of the more differentiated mammary tissue.

Methods. In order to test our hypothesis, we used 30 female Balb/c nude mice and MCF-7 cells of breast adenocarcinoma. The female mice were divided into two test groups, group I (GI) and group II (GII), and a control group. In GII, the animals were submitted to epithelial removal in the left fourth inguinal mammary gland at 3 weeks of age. Both groups were given continuous hormonal treatment to simulate the pregnancy development of the mammary gland. Two million MCF-7 cells were then injected into the fourth inguinal mammary gland (GI) or in the respective cleared mammary fat pad (GII). Five weeks later the mice were sacrificed and their tumors removed. Tumor development rates and tumor volumes were determined and proliferation and apoptosis were evaluated by immunohistochemistry.

Results. Tumors of GII mice had a larger mean volume than those of GI mice (P = 0.001, Mann-Whitney U-test) and an apparent increase in proliferation, demonstrated by a higher staining intensity for proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA). As tumors presented caspase 8 staining, there may be apoptotic activation involved in cell death, mainly through an extrinsic pathway.

Conclusions. These results suggest that a differentiated intact mammary gland may have an inhibitory influence on mammary tumor growth in mice. Free full text available at www.tumoraline.it

Introduction

Tumor formation can be prevented by suppressing carcinogenesis or blocking tumor promotion. In experimental models, administration of a combination of antioxidant micronutrients has been correlated with a protective effect in breast cancer, especially due to a decreased incidence of tumors with a better prognosis1-3. Prevention of mammary carcinogenesis can also be achieved by pregnancy or by administration of hormones such as progesterone, estrogen and human chorionic gonadotropin in animal models4,5.

Although there are several epidemiological parameters which may influence breast
cancer incidence, early full-term pregnancy is considered by many authors as one of the most important factors in the decrease of the lifetime breast cancer risk\textsuperscript{4,6}. Women bearing a child before the age of 20 have an approx. 50% lower risk of developing breast cancer than other women. However, a recent meta-analysis demonstrated that only hormone-responsive breast tumors are susceptible to the beneficial effects of parity\textsuperscript{7}. It has also been shown that pregnancy induces a unique genomic signature in the epithelial compartment of the human breast\textsuperscript{8}. In this study, stroma was removed by microdissection, although different cellular populations were not considered in the epithelial compartment. These permanent changes in gene expression were also demonstrated in hormonally treated rats\textsuperscript{9}.

Four main theories have been put forward to explain the protective role of pregnancy. According to the first theory, hormonal changes, namely in estradiol, prolactin and growth hormone levels, are responsible for the parity protection\textsuperscript{10}. In animal models, both prolactin and growth hormone are reduced in parous compared to nulliparous animals after adjustment for age. These hormonal changes might result in a lower expression of estrogen receptors (ER) and epidermal growth factor receptors in epithelial cells, decreasing their carcinogenic susceptibility. The second theory focuses on the role of cell differentiation, which is maintained after involution, and hypothesizes that luminal cells may have a decreased ability to proliferate, hence becoming less susceptible to carcinogenic stimuli\textsuperscript{11}. The third hypothesis is based on cancer stem cell theory\textsuperscript{12,13}. It states that pregnancy decreases the number of mammary stem cells, which in turn are potentially susceptible to malignant transformation\textsuperscript{14}, although recent studies by the same group have shown the opposite in mature adult mice\textsuperscript{15}. Finally, since the protection due to pregnancy is specific for ER-positive tumors, the last theory considers that it may be mediated by changes in estrogen responsiveness, in a direct or paracrine way, through ER-dependent or ER-independent mechanisms\textsuperscript{7}. All 4 theories focus on the decreased susceptibility of mammary epithelial cells to carcinogenic transformation after pregnancy, but none of them considers the differentiated epithelium itself as being able to inhibit tumor progression, even if the cells maintain their ability to initiate the carcinogenic process.

Some authors have stressed the importance of microenvironment and extracellular matrix remodeling during pregnancy and involution in the breast cancer risk\textsuperscript{16}. A key question that is still unanswered is whether the refractoriness is intrinsic to the mammary epithelial cells and/or mediated by persistent alterations in the host environment\textsuperscript{7}.

A previous study revealed that, when epithelial cells of mammary glands from virgin female rats were exposed to a potent carcinogen like MNU (methyl nitrosourea) and then transplanted into pregnant female rats, the tumor incidence in the pregnant rats was lower than in the host virgin female rats\textsuperscript{17}. This study demonstrated the relevance of the surrounding environment in carcinogenesis, although it failed to establish if it only inhibits cell initiation or is able to inhibit tumor growth. Authors from the same group recently published another study using a similar animal model approach to demonstrate that mammary cancer development can be blocked by inhibiting or blocking promotion and progression of carcinogen-initiated cells (not directly affecting initiation) and that this may be related to the protection conferred by an early pregnancy\textsuperscript{18}. In our study we therefore tested the hypothesis that differentiated mammary epithelium by an early pregnancy may inhibit breast cancer growth and progression.

To approach our hypothesis, we used previously developed models of pregnancy in mice and determined the influence of the differentiated intact mammary gland (compared to the cleared mammary fat pad) in tumor growth after the injection of tumor cells.

Material and methods

Thirty female Balb-c nude mice were used in this research, 20 in 2 test groups and 10 in a control group. All experimental procedures performed in the mice were approved by the National Animal Care Institute and were according to institution guidelines. Animals were maintained in laminar flow rooms under constant temperature and humidity on a 12-hour light, 12-hour dark cycle. Sterile laboratory chow and water were available ad lib. Surgical procedures were performed under sterile conditions.

At 3 weeks of age, 20 mice were randomly allocated to 2 groups: group I (GI; n = 10) and group II (GII; n = 10). GI mice were submitted to surgical removal of the epithelium of the fourth inguinal mammary gland by a previously described procedure with slight modifications\textsuperscript{19}.

When the mice were 8 weeks old, estrogen (400 µg/kg) and progesterone (40 mg/kg) lipid solutions were administered daily for 21 days by dorsal subcutaneous injections in both groups to simulate the pregnancy development of the mammary gland\textsuperscript{20}. Two million MCF-7 cells (purchased from ATCC) were injected into the fourth inguinal mammary gland (GI) or the respective cleared mammary fat pad (GII) when the mice were 11 weeks old. Mice received estradiol benzoate supplementation (1 mg/kg s.c.) every week after cell injection. These experiments were controlled for hormonal administration by the use of a control group of 10 mice with non-hormone-stimulated intact mammary glands.

Mouse weight and tumor development were measured weekly. Five weeks after cell injection, the mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. Macroscopic tumors were excised and tumor volumes calculated using
the following equation: \( V = \frac{a^2 \times b}{2} \), where \( V \) represents the tumor volume, \( a \) the larger axis, and \( b \) the shorter axis of the tumor.

Tissue fragments were fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. Five-μm sections were obtained from the paraffin blocks and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for histological examination. Immunohistochemistry was performed for PCNA (Lab Vision), caspase 3 (Lab Vision – proenzyme not cleaved) and caspase 8 (Affinity BioReagents) according to a simple 2-step visualization system of very high sensitivity (Dako Envision Systems – kits K4010 and K4012, for rabbit and mouse primary antibodies, respectively). We used heat-induced antigen retrieval with pH 6 citrate buffer. Tumors with strong staining of PCNA and caspase 8 and tonsillar tissues, which stain strongly for caspase 3, were used as controls for the immunochemical analyses. A blind study was performed by 2 pathologists to obtain the immunohistochemistry results. Immunostaining areas were calculated using informatics tools and PCNA immunostaining intensities were evaluated by comparison with a control tumor using a 0–3 scale (0 – no staining; 1 – lower than control; 2 – equal to control; 3 – higher than control)\(^{21}\).

Tumor volumes and areas of immunostaining were statistically evaluated with the Mann-Whitney \( U \)-test. The number of developed tumors was analyzed using Fisher’s exact test. \( P \) values <0.05 were considered significant.

**Results**

Nine mice, 3 in each group, were excluded from the study because of early death caused by technical errors. The remaining mice had no significant weight differences. In each tumor-bearing mouse, only 1 tumor appeared at the graft site. In GI, 4 mice (57%) developed tumors, while all GII mice (\( P = 0.096 \), Fisher’s test) and 3 control group mice (43%; \( P = 0.5 \), Fisher’s test) developed tumors. The mean tumor volume (MTV, calculated taking into account mice with no tumors) was 5.4 mm\(^3\) and 56.9 mm\(^3\) in GI and GII, respectively (\( P = 0.001 \), Mann-Whitney \( U \)-test). Similar differences were found when the mean tumor volume was calculated in tumor-bearing mice only. Control group tumor volumes were not statistically different from GI tumor volumes (Table 1).

All tumors in both test groups had a high proliferation index (more than 50% of tumor cells stained with PCNA) as evaluated by immunohistochemistry. The staining percentages were not significantly different between the 2 test groups (\( P = 0.382 \), Mann-Whitney \( U \)-test). However, the immunostaining intensity was higher in GII than GI (Table 2). There were no significant differences in immunostaining intensity between GI and the control group.

Caspase 8 and caspase 3 immunostaining was identical between groups. About half of the cells in each tumor stained for caspase 8 and only a few tumors presented vestigial staining for caspase 3, despite its positivity in the control group (data not shown).

**Discussion**

Tumor heterogeneity arises from different mutations and tumors are unique and complex entities not susceptible to inhibitory or antigrowth stimuli. Nevertheless, based on previous studies\(^{17,18} \), we hypothesized that a differentiated normal mammary epithelium may itself inhibit breast cancer progression. Some recent \textit{in vitro} studies also state that normal mammary epithelial cells exert an inhibitory effect via paracrine pathways\(^{22}\).

We have improved on a previously used experimental model by surgically removing the mammary epithelium and leaving the remaining cleared mammary fat pad intact for receiving cells or tissue transplants. The estradiol and progesterone administered in this experiment are able to simulate the development of a pregnant gland. There was a lag time between the surgical procedure, hormone administration, and cell injection, to make sure that surgery did not interfere in tumor growth.

All mice of GII but only some of GI developed tumors. The tumors of GII mice were also larger than those of GI mice. Within a single tumor cell line, there is a certain phenotypic variability due to epigenetic influences. Some of these variants may be more resistant to the proposed inhibitory effect and this may explain the appearance of a few small tumors in GI. By injecting the same number of tumor cells from the same cell line in

---

**Table 1 - Evaluation of final weight, number of tumor-presenting mice and mean tumor volumes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
<th>Control group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight of mice (g)</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor-presenting mice (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/7 (57%)</td>
<td>7/7 (100%)</td>
<td>3/7 (43%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( P = 0.096 )*</td>
<td>( P = 0.5 )*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTV (mm(^3)) in all mice</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>2.7 (( P = 0.155 )*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( P = 0.001 )*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTV (mm(^3)) in tumor-bearing mice</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>7 (( P = 0.086 )*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( P = 0.003 )*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fisher test. °Mann-Whitney \( U \)-test. MTV, mean tumor volume.

**Table 2 - PCNA immunostaining intensities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCNA, proliferating cell nuclear antigen. 0, no staining; 1, lower than control; 2, equal to control; 3, higher than control.
an intact mammary gland and a cleared mammary fat pad and obtaining different tumor outcomes, we demonstrated that the differentiated mammary gland may inhibit tumor growth. Tumors of control group mice with non-hormone-stimulated intact mammary glands presented no statistical difference when they were compared with tumors of GI mice. This suggests that epithelial cells in general (or at least a subpopulation of them) may have these inhibitory effects, and that their number and position within the mammary gland after pregnancy may be an important factor in its protection.

There are some limitations to this study which should be mentioned and discussed. Despite the lag time between surgery and other procedures, a sham operation should have been performed in GII mice to avoid bias related to wound healing, which is known to promote tumor growth. Moreover, we used a single ER-presenting cell line in this study, because pregnancy protection seems to be specific for ER-positive tumors. However, other breast cancer cell lines expressing different receptors should be tried in the future. Finally, we sought to address the question of whether the normal mammary epithelium itself may inhibit tumor promotion. However, by removing the epithelium in very young mice, the stroma and extracellular matrix may have developed differently. Thus, we did not discard the role of the microenvironment in tumor growth.

A hypothetic explanation for the inhibition may be related to the normal differentiation process of the mammary gland. Progenitor cells proliferate and differentiate in a limited way and, when there is a functionally sufficient contingent of terminally differentiated cells, progenitor cells should be susceptible to inhibitory paracrine signals (cytokines) derived from the same differentiated cells. Taking into account well-known genetic and phenotypic similarities between tumor and progenitor cells13, we may consider that inhibitory pathways derived from normal differentiated cells may be preserved and still have an effect on tumor progression. Further experiments in normal mammary gland differentiation will contribute towards a better understanding of tumor-epithelium interactions.

In this study we do not refute previous theories concerning the role of pregnancy in protecting against breast cancer, although we have demonstrated that the influence of normal mammary epithelium in breast cancer progression should also be taken into consideration for a complete approach to the study of this role. By administering the same amount of hormones in both groups, we showed that hormonal changes on their own cannot account for the parity protection10. By injecting tumor cells, we demonstrated that although there are changes in mammary gland properties during pregnancy, its protective role also cannot be explained solely by lower susceptibility to carcinogenic stimuli of parous mammary cells11,14. The previously referred concepts may be integrated in the initiation/promotion/progression model of cancer, considering either cancer stem cells or clonal evolution models23,24. A mutation in a stem cell or progenitor cell would initiate the process. However, this mutated cell would be controlled by the equilibrium between stimulatory and inhibitory factors. If this equilibrium were lost (for example, in chronic inflammation or other pathological conditions that destroy the normal differentiated environment, thus removing tumor inhibition), the promotion phase would begin. Later, the accumulation of further mutations and phenotypic changes in tumor cells would permit tissue invasion and systemic dissemination characteristic of the progression phase.

Breast cancer promotion and progression are blocked by previous pregnancies. According to our results, this blocking may be also due to the inhibitory influence of the differentiated mammary epithelium. Further insight into this influence may elucidate the protective role of pregnancy in breast cancer, and this will aid in the development of new prevention and treatment strategies.
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