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ABSTRACT

Objective. We sought to compare the efficacy of Celsior and University of Wisconsin (UW) solutions on the perfusion and cold storage of renal grafts for human transplantation.

Patients and Methods. Retrospective analyses of 313 kidney transplants were performed between 2002 and 2005; group A (n = 160), UW solution and group B (n = 153), Celsior solution were used in the preservation of the organs. The mean donor age was lower in group B (group A = 42.67 years vs group B = 38.96 years; P < .05), living donors were more frequent in the UW group (group A = 10% vs group B = 0.9%; P < .001). Multiorgan procurement procedures were more common in the Celsior group (group A = 75% vs group B = 81.7%; P < .001). Recipients with no associated comorbidities were more frequent in the UW group (group A = 50% vs group B = 36%; P < .001). Recipient mean age, cold ischemia time, and HLA matches were comparable.

Results. Delayed graft function (group A = 22.7% vs group B = 20.6%), acute rejections (group A = 21.4% vs group B = 18.4%), and serum creatinine at 6 months (group A = 1.75 vs group B = 1.67 mg/dL), 1 year (group A = 1.47 vs group B = 1.74 mg/dL), and 2 years (group A = 1.43 vs group B = 1.58 mg/dL) showed no differences (P = NS). Graft (group A = 82.23% vs group B = 84.11%) and patient (group A = 93% vs group B = 93.69%) survivals at 3 years were similar (P = NS). There were no differences in the causes of graft loss.

Conclusion. The efficacy of UW and Celsior solutions is equivalent in the cold storage and renal preservation for transplantation.
UW group and 38.96 years for the Celsior group (P < .05); living donors also differed between groups (group A = 10% vs group B = 9.9%; P < .001). Percentage of traumatic causes in cadaveric donors was similar (group A = 61.6% vs group B = 65.3%; P = NS), and local (our hospital) origin of these donors was also identical (group A = 72.8% vs group B = 87.5%; P = NS). Multiorgan procurement (liver, heart, pancreas, or small bowel) was more frequent in the Celsior group (group A = 75% vs group B = 81.7%; P < .001). Donor creatinine (group A = 0.97 vs group B = 1.01 mg/dL), recipient mean age (group A = 42.39 vs group B = 44.88 years), mean time on dialysis pretransplantation (group A = 35.44 vs group B = 38.88 months), HLA average matches (group A = 2.71 vs group B = 2.74), and mean cold ischemia time (group A = 18.17 vs group B = 18.75 hours) were not statistically different between both groups (P = NS). Recipients with no associated pathologies were more frequent in the UW group (group A = 50% vs group B = 36%; P < .001).

RESULTS

Mean follow-up was 2.24 years for group A and 2.06 years for group B (P = NS). Delayed graft function (group A = 22.7% vs group B = 20.6%) and acute rejections (group A = 21.4% vs group B = 18.4%) showed no significant differences (P = NS). Graft function, sequentially assessed posttransplantation by serum creatinine, was good and showed no difference (P = NS) between groups at 1 month (group A = 1.86 vs group B = 1.85 mg/dL), 3 months (group A = 1.75 vs group B = 1.67 mg/dL), 6 months (group A = 1.58 vs group B = 1.67 mg/dL), 1 year (group A = 1.47 vs group B = 1.74 mg/dL), and 2 years (group A = 1.43 vs group B = 1.75 mg/dL).

Actuarial cumulative graft survivals at 1 and 3 years were 88.73% and 82.23% for group A, and 87.34% and 84.11% for group B (log-rank NS). Causes of graft loss did not differ between the 2 groups. Actuarial cumulative patient survivals at 1 and 3 years were 96.34% and 93.00% for group A, and 97.30% and 93.69% for group B (log-rank NS). Causes of death were similar.

DISCUSSION

In the field of organ procurement and preservation there has been significant progress in understanding the mechanisms of cell damage induced by ischemia and in the development of new physiological and pharmacological approaches to the protection of tissues from ischemia. Hypothermia still remains the main method of organ preservation, and new solutions have attenuated the metabolic consequences of cold ischemia. Particular attention has been paid to the ischemia-reperfusion injuries and prevention of cell damage.

UW solution has been the “gold standard” for intra-abdominal organs with good results in the preservation of liver and kidney, leading to excellent rates of immediate graft function and low short- and long-term serum creatinine levels. Celsior was first developed to meet the needs of intrathoracic organ preservation: low potassium, prevention of edema, prevention of free radical damage, and prevention of calcium overload with adequate buffer. Several reports, however, have also addressed its adequacy for intra-abdominal organs, potentially transforming this solution into a universal one, carrying all the advantages of flushing all the organs with the same product in multiorgan procurement procedures.

As we previously reported, in our center during the last years multiorgan procurements became the most common procedures, transforming a potentially universal flushing solution into a major benefit. This study compared 2 groups of preserved grafts with different solutions: UW and Celsior. Although there were some differences in the population characteristics (donor age, living donation, multiorgan harvests, and recipient comorbidities), we think both groups were roughly comparable. In accordance with other authors, we did not find any differences in the rates of immediate graft function, acute rejection, or graft function in the short- and long-term.2,7,8 Graft and patient survivals were also similar, letting us conclude that UW and Celsior solutions have the same profile of efficacy in cold storage and kidney preservation. Celsior has the advantage of being an appropriate solution for intrathoracic and liver preservation, organs that we routinely harvest in our hospital.
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